




Salford, Greater Manchester

Project description	Whole house retrofit of mid-terrace house, built c.1900.			
Treated Floor Area	70 m ²			
Dates of work	2008 – 2010			
Project team	Myself, along with various sub-contractors for different tasks			
Introduction	House was damp and dark when I bought it, and parts of the house (e.g. the bathroom) were freezing in cold weather. I had to do a certain amount of things anyway since the house was in a fairly bad state (e.g. window frames were rotten), so I found that this was a good time to try to make it better while I was at it. I didn't know much about energy efficiency or in what order to do things, so I spent some cash on a few books and read up about what I should do, after which I made a do-list and decided roughly in what order things should be done.			
Space heating demand & carbon emissions – before and after according to PHPP		Before	After	% reduction
	Space heating (kWh/m ² /yr)	299.3	102.9	66%
	Primary energy (kWh/m ² /yr)	467.3	200.8	57%
	CO ₂ (kg/m ² /yr)	107.7	47.7	56%
Fuel use before and after	No data before, as I had just moved in. Data after retrofit does not give accurate picture due to many periods when house has been unoccupied, especially during cold months.			
What improvements done / strategies	Improvement	U-values / information		
	Insulation			
	Bathroom ceiling	- Polyisocyanurate (Kingspan) 120mm thick friction-fitted in rafters, u-value 0.321 W/m ² K		
				
	Internal walls (external-facing – i.e. not party walls)	- Polyisocyanurate (Kingspan) 70mm thick friction-fitted in studwork, u-value 0.372 W/m ² K		

		
	Suspended timber floor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Polyisocyanurate (Kingspan) 100mm thick friction-fitted between joists, u-value 0.321 W/m²K 
	Loft	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Mineral wool 270mm thick, u-value 0.149 W/m²K
	Bay window ceiling above French doors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Polyisocyanurate (Kingspan) 100mm thick friction-fitted between rafters, u-value 0.332 W/m²K



Airtightness

Some vapour-impermeable sheeting, combined with use of expanding foam



- Vapour-impermeable polythene sheets used on insulated internal walls and bathroom ceiling, but these were not adequately taped or sealed to create a draught proof barrier
- No membrane was used on ground floor – here I only relied on expanding foam
- No membrane used on bedroom ceilings – here I used expanding foam to seal up between plasterboards and wire penetrations via the loft space





Ventilation

Mechanical Ventilation with Heat Recovery (MVHR) unit installed

- Xpelair Xcell-300 unit installed in loft, 91% heat recovery
- Air is extracted from kitchen & bathroom and supplied to 2 bedrooms and lounge via 125mm round/rectangular ductwork and 180mm insulated ductwork in the loft space

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 82 m³/hour measured flow rate, which is the lowest flow rate possible before fans cut out – which means ventilation rate of 0.45 air changes per hour - Humidity in house varies within the 40-60% range, but occasionally dips below 40% in winter.
		
	Doors & windows	
	New windows and doors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Rehau PVC double-glazed windows and French doors, with 28mm gap argon-filled glazing, u-value of whole window 2.2 W/m²K (glazing u-value 1.5 W/m²K) - One Velux wood-framed window in bathroom, u-value of whole window 1.98 W/m²K (glazing u-value 1.1 W/m²K)
		
Damp		
Ground floor & walls	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I had an injected damp course done on the whole ground floor 	

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Kitchen floor had to be drilled up and re-laid (rising damp due to inadequate DPM) - Keim Lotexan capillary block added on external face of walls to reduce amount of moisture soaking into the brickwork
		
	Crawl space	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Put 4.5 tonnes of MOT (gravel mix) onto the muddy crawl space floor to soak up moisture and deal with mud and unevenness - After that I laid a thick polythene sheeting on top of this to reduce evaporation (cleared up the condensation on windows overnight)
		
	Heating system	
Condensing boiler	- Replaced old boiler with Remeha Avanta Plus condensing boiler	
Radiators	- New radiators throughout, most with TRVs	
Wood-burning stove	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Løvenholm 5kW HETAS-approved smokeless zone stove put into larger chimney breast on ground floor - Appropriate chimney cowl added to stack 	

		
Appliances & electrics		
Appliances	- New energy-efficient washing machine, fridge, freezer	
Lighting	- Low energy CFLs and LEDs in most fittings	
Water		
Sink, toilet & bath	- Ifö sink, toilet & bath which are designed to save water by design (e.g. toilet flush 2 or 4 litres)	
		
What would I have done differently?	- Better airtightness using vapour-open materials (e.g. membranes or lime plaster): this was my first renovation, and following advice from a book, my airtightness strategy consisted largely of using expanding foam, which I	

	<p>now know is not an effective strategy. The polythene sheets were also not vapour-permeable, and in any case were not correctly joined together or to walls/floors.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Wood fibre as insulation for internal wall insulation (avoiding any kind of vapour closed insulation for solid walls), and having WUFI analysis done for the most at-risk wall where u-value would be planned to be under 0.4 W/m²K - Proper MVHR design prior to procuring unit, to ensure that a lower flow rate can be achieved that would result in 0.3 air changes per hour in winter (to avoid dry air), also to ensure no air flow noise at terminals - Insulation for kitchen floor prior to concrete being laid - Better suspended floor insulation strategy – given what we now know about risk of moisture in joists, I would have taken the joists out and replaced with a recycled glass aggregate floor followed by a lime screed 		
Fan test results		Air changes per hour	m ³ / hour / m ²
	Test 1: before	Not carried out	Not carried out
	Test 2: after insulation	9.34	7.85
	Test 3: after plastering	6.69	Not carried out
Cost	<p>£40,000, of which:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 50% was related to energy efficiency work - 50% was spent on things like new kitchen & bathroom, knocking walls through, carpets, paint, furniture, etc. 		